

4 5

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

KATHLEEN SULLIVAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO.

2 TO EXCLUDE OR LIMIT THE

TESTIMONY OF DEFENSE EXPERT,

ALEXANDER JASON

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN

FRANCISCO, et al.,

Trial Date: December 2, 2013

Trial: 8:00 a.m.

Pretrial Conf.: April 1, 2013

Time: 2:00 p.m.
Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
The Honorable Jeffrey S. White

EXHIBIT 2

Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude or Limit Testimony of Alexander Jason Espinosa v. City and County of San Francisco, Case No. C06-04686 JSW

1	1 have H marked one through seven.
2	Q. Okay. Now, looking at Hl, is that what does
3	that depict?
4	A. That is an area of the eyeglass case that has
5	transfer blood on it.
6	Q. And do you know if that's a do you know
7	which side of the eyeglass case that is?
8	A. That's the underside, the bottom of the
9	eyeglass case.
10	Q. Okay. Given that it looks like there was
11	transfer blood on both ends of the eyeglass case, do you
12	know which end that is?
13	A. I do not.
14	Q. And do you have any independent recollection of
15	what end that is?
16	A. No, I don't.
17	Q. Okay. Moving to H2.
18	A. H2 shows two, slightly out of focus, two blood
19	deposits which are sub one millimeters, small one
20	millimeters, very small blood deposits.
21	Q. Are those spatters?
22	A. Yes, they are.
23	Q. Could they be anything other than spatters?
24	A. They could be, but they look like bloodspatter
25	to me.
	107

1	Q.	Okay. H3?
2	Α.	H3 is a single drop, a single deposit, single
3	bloodspa	atter.
4	Q.	Okay. Could that be anything other than
5	bloodspa	atter?
6	Α.	It could be, but it looks like bloodspatter.
7	Q.	H4.
8	Α.	Oh, gosh, I missed one. I'll make this the
9	last one	a.
10	Q.	That's fine. I don't have them in any
11	particul	lar order. That's fine.
12	Α.	(Marking on photographs.) So we are at H4
13	now.	
14	Q.	I'm at the
15	Α.	What was the last H that I (Examining
16	photogra	aphs.) Yeah, it was H4 H3.
17	Q.	We should be on H4 now.
18	Α.	H4 is the area of the underside of the bottom
19	of the e	eyeglass case that has transfer blood.
20	Q.	Okay.
21	Α.	н5.
22	Q.	Hold on.
23		Do you know what side of the case that is?
24	Α.	I do not.
25		MR. LOEBS: Side, you mean left or right?
		108

1	MR. NISENBAUM: Right, left or right.
2	Q. And the next in order?
3	A. H5 is showing two blood, blood spatter deposits
4	without a scale. So it's not very useful.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. I just gave you all my pictures.
7	Q. Could those be anything other than spatter?
8	A. They could be, but they look like bloodspatter
9	to me.
10	Q. Okay. Next in order?
11	A. H6 is an area with a scale.
12	Once again, this is the bottom of the eyeglass
13	case. And it has two, perhaps a third blood spatter
14	deposit. They are very small consistent with gunshot
15	wounds.
16	Q. Could that be anything other than spatter?
17	A. It could be, but it looks to me like
18	bloodspatter.
19	Q. Next in order?
20	A. H7 is an area of transfer blood deposits. And
21	I don't know what side, left or right, this is. But
22	this is from the underside of the bottom of the eyeglass
23	case.
24	Q. Could that be anything other than blood?
25	A. It could be, but it looks like bloodspatter or
	109

1	blood transfer blood deposits, to me.
2	Q. H8?
3	A. H8 is an area resembles another picture. It
4	might be the same.
5	(Examining photograph.) Yeah, this is the same
6	area as H6 showing a blood spatter deposit, very, very
7	small blood spatter deposit.
8	Q. Okay.
9	MR. LOEBS: Can we take a break for a few
10	minutes, Ben?
11	MR. NISENBAUM: Sure.
12	(WHEREUPON, a brief recess was taken.)
13	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Now, Mr. Jason you reviewed
14	the coroner's report in this case?
15	A. I did.
16	Q. Okay. I am going to hand you a copy of the
17	coroner's report, and if you can look it over. Do you
18	know if this is the report that you reviewed in this
19	case?
20	A. (Examining documents.)
21	Q. And I am going to mark this as next in order.
22	A. Yes, it appears to be.
23	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit I was
24	marked for Identification, and is
25	attached, hereto.)
	110

	l l
1	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. You examined
2	Mr. Sullivan's pants; is that right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And were his pants bloody?
5	A. Yes, they had blood on them.
6	Q. And I take it, as of May 3 was it May 30
7	that you examined his pants in 2008 I am sorry
8	April 30.
9	A. April 30.
10	Q. Okay. April 30, 2008, I take it, the blood was
11	dry?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did you examine the pockets of the pants?
14	A. Inside the pockets?
15	Q. Did you examine the pockets at all?
16	A. I did not other than to note it that they
17	were not that they were empty. But I, I smoothed the
18	pants out to photograph it. But I did not look in the
19	pockets.
20	Q. Do you know whether or not the pockets were
21	bloody?
22	A. The interior of the pockets, no, I did not look
23	inside, no.
24	Q. Okay. In reviewing the coroner's report, did
25	you note whether or not any items retrieved from
	111

1	Mr. Sullivan's pockets were bloody?
2	A. I don't remember. I can look at it now.
3	Q. I will direct you to its Page One of the
4	report. It's a few pages into the Exhibit, CCSF/ESPI
5	001469, Preliminary Examination.
6	A. (Examining documents.) Okay. Yeah,
7	blood-stained piece of paper in the right front pocket.
8	And in the right back pocket is a blood-stained
9	probation department business card. In the left front
10	pocket are several blood-stained white tissues. In the
11	left back pocket of the pants are napkins. It doesn't
12	say anything about blood on that. But, yes, there were
13	some bloody objects in several pockets.
14	Q. Okay. Do you know if the transfer that you
15	observed strike that.
16	Do you know if the transfer bloodstains that
17	you observed on the eyeglass case could have come from
18	one of those bloody pockets?
19	MR. LOEBS: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
20	Incomplete hypothetical.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, we have to go back.
22	The type of spatter deposits on a glass case
23	are not consistent with it having been in the pockets
24	during the shooting.
25	Is that what you asking me?
3	112

1	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. That's all I'm asking.
2	I am asking you, you said that there were two
3	transfer stains on the pocket not on the pocket.
4	Strike that.
5	You said there were two transfer stains on the
6	bottom of the eyeglass case, one on either side,
7	correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. Now, if those two transfer stains, could
10	either of those stains, transfer stains have occurred
11	strike that.
12	Could either of those two transfer stains have
13	happened when the if the eyeglass case was in the
14	pocket, one of Mr. Sullivan's pockets?
15	MR. LOEBS: Object to the question. It's an
16	incomplete hypothetical.
17	Are you asking him to assume that there were no
18	other stains on the pocket?
19	MR. NISENBAUM: I am asking if that was
20	possible.
21	MR. LOEBS: You are asking him to assume that
22	there were no other spatter mark stains on the glass
23	case, right?
24	MR. NISENBAUM: I am just asking as to the
25	stains, without regard to the spatter marks.
	113

1	THE WITNESS: From the transfer marks alone, I
2	think it's unlikely that it could have been caused by
3	being in the pocket. I'd have to look at the pockets.
4	I would expect there to be well, if it was in the
5	right front pocket, I would expect there to be a lot
6	more blood on the glass case than it was.
7	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And what is that
8	based on?
9	A. The amount of blood that's on that area. The
10	right front pocket would have a lot of blood on it
11	within it. And it's very little blood transfer on the
12	glass case, really, for the amount of blood in the area,
13	especially in that right front pocket area.
14	Q. Did you review any photographs of any items
15	that were removed from the glasses case?
16	A. I looked at all of them, yes.
17	Q. Okay. And at this point I got my pictures
18	somewhat out of order, but if you will bear with me.
19	A. I'd like to make a clarification, if I may.
20	Q. Sure.
21	A. Before the break you asked me about I forget
22	the exact question but it was something like Is it
23	possible that the glass case, the eyeglass case was in
24	the pocket, in one of the pockets? And I'm not sure how
25	I answered that. But what I wanted to say is I don't

1	believe glass I believe the physical evidence is
2	consistent with the glass case not being in the pocket
3	during the shooting, being in any pocket during the
4	shooting.
5	Q. And that's because of the mark that you
6	observed on it that you say are what's the word for
7	it?
8	A. Spattered?
9	Q. Yes, spattered.
10	A. Yeah, marks, blood deposits, yeah. Yes.
11	Q. You haven't done any testing of the blood
12	deposit, though, have you? We already asked that.
13	A. You already asked me that.
14	Q. Okay.
15	We'll make this exhibit next order, CCSF/ESPI
16	000957.
17	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit J was
18	marked for Identification, and is
19	attached, hereto.)
20	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. If you can take a look at
21	Exhibit J and tell me if you have seen that before.
22	A. (Examining photographs.) Yes, I have.
23	Q. What is it?
24	A. This is the picture of the decedent at the
25	medical examiner's office lying on a gurney still
	115

1	clothed and his hands back.
2	Q. Now, does he appear to be lying on some type of
3	plastic sheeting?
4	A. Yes, he does. I think that's the opened body
5	bag.
6	Q. Are there marks on that opened body bag?
7	MR. LOEBS: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
8	What do you mean by marks?
9	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Well, is there something
10	that's not plastic on that opened body bag?
11	A. It appears to be blood.
12	Q. Now, did you examine that body bag?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Do you know from that body bag, from looking at
15	it, do you know would you be able to differentiate
16	between spatter and the drops that are on the body
17	bag?
18	MR. LOEBS: Object as vague and ambiguous,
19	incomplete.
20	THE WITNESS: If I have a chance to examine the
21	bag then on that I think I could, yes.
22	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. We will come back to this.
23	At some point we will come back to that when I can find
24	the photographs.
25	A. Okay.
	116

1	Q. All right. Now, April 30, 2008, you were at
2	2 Garces Drive?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And who was with you?
5	A. Mr. Lobes and Officer Keesor, Officer Alvis,
6	Officer Morgado, Officer Oshita, and Doctor, Dr.
7	Mohandie, M-o-h-a-n-d-i-e, and doctor, I forget her
8	name. If Mr. Lobes can remind me.
9	Q. Dr. Karem?
10	A. Dr. Karem.
11	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
12	THE WITNESS: K-a-r-e-m. And I believe that
13	was all.
14	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And did you have any
15	discussion with anyone at 2 Garces about whether or not
16	this was supposed whether or not this shooting was a
17	suicide by cop.
18	A. I never discussed that with anybody.
19	Q. Dr. Karem never told you that she thought this
20	was a suicide by cop?
21	A. I never, other than meeting and greeting her
22	and a few questions I mean a few interchanges like
23	that, I never discussed the case with her.
24	Q. Okay. All right. And your purpose of, of
25	April 30, 2008, at 2 Garces Drive was what?
	117

1	A. To do a demonstration to use the officers and
2	have them show me exactly where they were in the attic
3	and where the decedent was, and then to photograph their
4	particular points of view from their locations in the
5	attic.
6	Q. By the way, if you can move the exhibits back
7	here for the Court Reporter.
8	A. (Handing documents to Counsel.)
9	Q. And what kind of camera did you use to take
10	photographs?
11	A. Yes, I used a Nikon D300 digital camera.
12	Q. And you had video as well?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. And your intention was to have the
15	officers essentially run through what occurred in the
16	incident?
17	MR. LOEBS: Objection. Misstates his
18	testimony.
19	THE WITNESS: I just had the officers to stand
20	in their locations. And we didn't act out the entire
21	event. We just we had one officer who was somewhat
22	similar to the height and weight of the decedent. And
23	he sat in the location where the decedent was
24	photographed the day of the shooting where his body was.
25	And then the officers took their positions to they
	118

1	took their positions where they were located during
2	the immediately prior to the shooting.
3	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. So this focused
4	specifically on where the officers were immediately
5	prior to the shooting, right?
6	A. That's right.
7	Q. Okay. I was trying to narrow that period.
8	And who was the officer who took Mr. Sullivan's
9	location?
.0	A. Let's see. I have it in my notes here.
1	(Examining documents.)
2	I don't have his name down here. And, also,
.3	Don Cameron was there. He is a police practices
4	expert.
.5	Q. Okay.
6	A. I don't have his name down here.
.7	Q. Do you know if it was one of the defendants in
.8	the case who assumed Mr. Sullivan's position?
9	A. I believe it was not. It wasn't Alvis or
0	Keesor or Oshita. It wasn't one of the shooting
1	officers, I know that.
2	Q. Oshita was not a shooting officer, was he?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. It wasn't one of the officers who would have
	110

1	been in who was in the attic at the time of the
2	shooting.
3	Q. To your knowledge, the only officer present in
4	the attic with you at 2 Garces who was not in the attic
5	at the time of the shooting was Officer Oshita; is that
6	correct?
7	MR. LOEBS: Misstates his testimony.
8	THE WITNESS: Officer Oshita, my understanding
9	was he was in the attic partially during the shooting.
10	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. There were four
11	officers with you, correct?
12	A. It was Keesor, Alvis, Oshita Keesor, Alvis,
13	Oshita and Morgado. There were four. And then the
14	fifth person assuming the role of the decedent, position
15	of the decedent.
16	Q. Is it fair to say the fifth person was not an
17	officer, the one who assumed the decedent's role?
18	A. I believe he was, but I he wasn't wearing a
19	uniform. So I don't know for sure. I believe he was an
20	officer.
21	Q. Now, looking at your notes let me go back to
22	this. We have
23	A. (Examining documents.)
24	Q. And I am looking at page three of Exhibit A,
25	which is dated oh, I am sorry. This is actually
- 1	120

1	dated 17 April 2008.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is that the date that you were in the attic?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. And again, "Exam of scene at 2 Ceres."
6	You mean Garces?
7	A. I mean Garces.
8	Q. Okay. And it states here that well, let me
9	ask you this. Of the people you have list of the
10	names you have listed here, are those all the people who
11	were present?
12	A. There was I left out Dr. Karem's name on the
13	bottom.
14	Q. Okay.
1.5	A. And then I left out the name of the person who
16	assumed the position of the decedent.
17	Q. Okay.
L8	A. Other than that, I think that was all.
19	Q. Is it your understanding that the person who
20	assumed the position of the decedent was not present at
21	the time at 2 Garces at the time the shooting
22	occurred?
23	A. That's my understanding.
24	Q. Okay. And the purpose of this was well,
25	strike that. I already asked that.
	121

1	Now, is it fair to say that one thing you did
2	not do was, was have the officers reenact their movement
3	through the attic up and to the final point of the
4	shooting?
5	A. That's correct. I didn't ask them, nor did
6	they do any movement other than to assume their
7	locations.
8	Q. Okay. Now, and from that you prepared a
9	certain computer model?
10	A. Well, not from that. But from my measurements
11	and photographs of the scene prior to that, I prepared a
12	computer model.
13	Q. Let me ask you this.
14	Let's mark this as next in order.
15	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit K was
16	marked for Identification, and is
17	attached, hereto.)
18	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Can you tell me what
19	Exhibit K reference?
20	A. K is a rendering that I did of a computer model
21	that I created based on the measurements of the scene,
22	the attic, specifically, and of the condo apartment in
23	general. And then there are blue objects. There are
24	four blue objects and one green object. The green
25	object represents the decedent and the blue object
	122

1	represents the officers who were in the attic at the
2	time of the shooting.
3	Q. Okay. Now, can you tell me which, which blue
4	object represents which officer at the time of the
5	shooting?
6	A. Yes. Should I label them?
7	Q. Yes, if you can label them, that would be
8	great.
9	A. (Marking on photographs.) I labeled them.
10	And in addition to that I annotated the decedent.
11	Q. Now, it looks like you have off of the icons
12	labeled Morgado and Alvis appear to be vertical, while
13	the
14	A. Oh, wait a second. I left out Keesor. I'm
15	sorry.
16	Oh, yeah, I got
17	MR. LOEBS: It's hard to tell.
18	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. The icons labeled Morgado
19	and Alvis appear to be upright, while the icons
20	labeled
21	A Keesor.
22	Q. While Keesor and the DEC, decedent, appear to
23	be horizontal?
24	A. Well, the Keesor one is horizontal. The
25	decedent one I am using these general shapes to
	122

1	represent the body positions.
2	If you look closely, it's hard to tell from
3	that, but it shows him sitting down with his legs in
4	front of him flat on the attic floor and then his torso
5	upward.
6	Q. I see.
7	A. That's what it supposed to represent.
8	Q. Okay. And the, the vertical icon on the bottom
9	left, is that Oshita?
10	A. That's Oshita, and he is half in and half
11	out.
12	Q. Okay. Where Oshita is, is that where the
13	attic access is?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And to your understanding that below
16	Oshita is kind of is a closet?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And are these intended to express any
19	particular height of Officer Morgado or Alvis?
20	A. It's just generally, but not, not trying to
21	represent their height, their scale height, no.
22	Q. Okay. Do you know how far from the decedent
23	Officer Alvis was in this?
24	MR. LOEBS: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
25	to what portion of the body you are measuring from.
	124

1	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Approximately.
2	A. How far Morgado is from Alvis?
3	Q. As Alvis is from the decedent?
4	A. Oh, from the decedent.
5	MR. LOEBS: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as
6	to what portion of the body you are measuring from.
7	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. You can tell me what
8	portion of the body you are measuring from.
9	A. Well, his feet are before him. So they are
10	closer to her, to Alvis than his head. So, and she is
11	standing upright, as depicted there. So, if you measure
12	from her nose and from her outstretched hands to her gun
13	you'd get, you'd get different spaces. But, I'd say, if
14	you go from head to head, that is, from her head to his
15	head, I would say there is two, four, six, seven, eight
16	feet, something like that. Six to eight feet.
17	Q. Did you, when you had the re-enactment done,
18	did you take measurements from head to head?
19	A. No.
20	MR. LOEBS: Objection. It misstates his
21	testimony.
22	MR. NISENBAUM: Maybe I am using the wrong word
23	there.
24	Q. When you had the positioning done, did you take
25	measurements between the, between well, did you take
	125

1	measurements between Officer Alvis and the person who
2	played the role of the decedent?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you take measurements of any of the
5	officers relative to the decedent?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Did you take measurements of the officers
8	positioning relative to any location?
9	A. No. I took no measurements, no.
10	Q. Okay. So it's an estimation that Alvis head to
11	head was six
12	A. Was six to eight feet, yeah.
13	Q. Okay. And the decedent, as you understand it,
14	was, was in a somewhat seated somewhat lying position?
15	A. That's how he was found and, yes, that's how he
16	was described as being, yes.
17	Q. Do you know what the total length of the attic
18	is?
19	A. I don't remember right now. But I think
20	it's in that direction that you are indicating there,
21	from vent to vent?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. I think it's 23 feet. But that's just from
24	memory.
25	Q. And is the spaces between the joists, are the
	126

1	spaces uniform, to your knowledge?
2	A. The spaces are the same except for bay one and
3	eleven. When I say bay, I am talking about this area
4	here. Here and here, these are more narrow than these.
5	(Indicating.) These are all the same.
6	Q. Okay. And the ones that are all the same, what
7	is the distance between the joists?
8	A. I don't remember.
9	Q. Do you know if it's more or less than three
10	feet?
11	A. I think it's less than three feet.
12	Q. Okay. And when the positioning was done at any
13	point in time did you have the person who played the
14	decedent point to point an arm at Officer Alvis?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Now, were you able to make any determinations
17	as to the visibility of the conditions in the attic?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And do you intend to express an opinion about
20	that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. And that is maybe you can direct me
23	to the area where you intend to express an opinion. It
24	may be page five of seven, I'm not certain.
25	A. (Examining documents.)
- 1	127

1	MR. LOEBS: Can I have that question back? I
2	am sorry.
3	MR. NISENBAUM: Maybe he can direct me to the
4	exhibit.
5	MR. LOEBS: To the portion of the
6	MR. NISENBAUM: Page five of seven, I am not
7	certain.
8	MR. LOEBS: I didn't I just don't understand
9	the question.
10	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. The question is can
11	you direct me to the area of your report where you
12	intend to express an opinion with respect to visibility,
13	visibility conditions.
14	A. Yeah, on page six of seven, the bottom point
15	says "Officer Keesor had the only viewing location which
16	allowed a view of the decedent's right hand when raised
17	above the floor joists." And then it describes the
18	other positions and their, their views.
19	Q. I see.
20	Now, do you know what portion of the decedent
21	was visible to Alvis from her position?
22	A. First, you have to before I can answer that,
23	you have to realize that she was not locked in one
24	position. She was locked in one location or she
25	remained in one location, but she was moving her head
	128

1	from side to side to get, to get either side of the
2	intervening object. So she had different views. But
3	she could see his head sometimes. And he also was
4	moving, by her description. So she had some view of his
5	head. But I think it's pretty well designed or
6	illustrated in the pictures that I had from the shooting
7	locations, which you have somewhere.
8	Q. Certainly do.
9	Make this next in order.
10	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit L was
11	marked for Identification, and is
12	attached, hereto.)
13	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And the next exhibit has
14	been marked Exhibit L. Can you tell me what Exhibit L
15	represents?
16	A. Yeah. (Examining photographs.) Exhibit L is a
17	picture taken inside the attic with Officer Alvis in the
18	location where she was during the shooting. And it's
19	just a picture of her standing holding her flashlight
20	and her right arm outstretched as if she were holding a
21	gun.
22	Q. And then I have some photographs. And I'm
23	going to show you the next, next seven photographs. If
24	you can tell me what these are intended to represent.

129

A. Should I number these?

24

1	Q. Yes, if you can.
2	A. Is this going to be part of the
3	Q. It will be
4	A. M?
5	Q. Well, first, let me ask you this. Are these
6	the next series of photographs intended to represent
7	what was visible from Alvis' position?
8	MR. LOEBS: Wait a second. I don't, I don't
9	it's compound and a vague question.
LO	You have 10, like, 20 how many, 15
11	photographs?
12	MR. NISENBAUM: There is a series of
13	photographs and I am just
14	THE WITNESS: These photographs do not
15	represent Alvis' position or viewpoint.
6	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. You did take
.7	photographs from her position that were intended to show
.8	her viewpoint, correct?
.9	A. Yes, I did.
20	Q. Given in the stack of documents in the copy of
21	photographs that I, that I made for you that you took,
2	can you show me the photographs that are intended to
23	represent her viewpoint?
24	MR. LOEBS: You want these back, Ben?
25	MR. NISENBAUM: Yeah, please.
	120

1	THE WITNESS: (Examining photographs.) Yeah,
2	there are two pictures here, which generally represent
3	her viewpoint from either side of the intervening
4	object. These are should I mark these?
5	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Let's make these if
6	these are intended to represent her viewpoint, let's
7	make them L1 and L2.
8	A. (Marking on photographs.) I've marked them L1
9	and L2 I will hand them to the Court Reporter.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit L1 and
12	Exhibit L2 was marked for Identification,
13	and is attached, hereto.)
14	THE WITNESS: So this is not going to confuse
15	people, we have a L, and a L1 and a L2. And this should
16	be a LO. (Indicating.)
17	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. I am going to ask
18	you one more question.
19	Did you take any photographs that were intended
20	to represent the decedent's view?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. Not with the officers present.
24	Q. At any point in time did you take any
25	photographs that were intended to represent the
	131

1	decedent's view?
2	A. No, not, not with that intention.
3	Q. Okay. So Looking at L1, what is L1?
4	A. (Examining photograph.) L1 is a photograph
5	taken inside the attic from Officer Alvis' location
6	where her head, where her eyes were, looking at another
7	person who is seated in the location where the decedent
8	was located.
9	And L1 shows from the left side of an
10	intervening object, pipe and/or wooden support.
11	Q. Okay. Now, what time of day were you at 2
12	Garces?
13	A. This was probably in the late afternoon, maybe
14	4:00 o'clock, something like that.
15	Q. Okay. And is L1 intended to represent the
16	similar lighting conditions in the attic as Alvis had at
17	the time of the incident?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And it appears that the decedent is illuminated
20	by some type of light in L1; is that correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And whose light or what type of light?
23	A. The lights that were used were the same
24	flashlights used by the officers who had flashlights. I
25	had them use the same flashlights.
	132

1	Q. All right. And L2 is similar to L1 but
2	slightly different?
3	A. Slightly different, if you moved your head to
4	the right slightly on the right side of the intervening
5	air duct.
6	Q. Let's go to K.
7	A. (Examining photographs.)
8	Q. Do you recognize Exhibit K?
9	A. Is this K?
10	Q. Well, I'm sorry. It's going to be M strike
11	that. I think it's Keesor, so.
12	Okay. Do you recognize Exhibit M?
13	A. (Examining photograph.) Yes, I do.
14	Q. And what is Exhibit M?
15	A. This is a picture taken from inside the attic
16	showing Officer Keesor in his described location holding
17	a flashlight. And it also shows Officer Oshita
18	partially, and partially Officer Morgado.
19	MR. NISENBAUM: Make this Exhibit M.
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit M was
21	marked for Identification, and is
22	attached, hereto.)
23	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And going through your
24	stack of photographs, can you show me if there are any
25	photographs that are intended to depict Officer Keesor's
	133

1	point of view?
2	A. (Examining photographs.) Yes, I have a
3	photograph here (indicating), which depicts Officer
4	Keesor's view.
5	Q. Do you have other photographs that are intended
6	to depict his view?
7	A. No.
8	MR. NISENBAUM: Make this M1.
9	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit M1 was
10	marked for Identification, and is
11	attached, hereto.)
12	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Going back to Exhibit L.
13	Do you know if the do you have an understanding that
14	there was, like, a fluffy type of insulation in the
15	attic?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. At the time of the incident, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And similar to the fluffy type of insulation
20	that, that you can see somewhat in Exhibit L2?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you know if the officer who is I don't
23	want to say plain, but the officer who was, essentially,
24	taking Asa Sullivan's position, do you know whether or
25	not in this, in these photographs is he sitting in an
	134

1	area where there is fluffy insulation?
2	A. Yes, he is.
3	Q. Okay. And from that, from Alvis' viewpoint is
4	the fluffy insulation visible?
5	A. From?
6	Q. Alvis' viewpoint.
7	A. Yes. Some of it is, yes.
8	Q. Okay. I think I asked you this already. But
9	let me be clear. Let me make sure.
10	Did you ever have the decedent make, or the
11	person who played the role of Asa Sullivan, make the
12	motion that Officer Keesor claimed to have observed?
13	A. No.
14	MR. LOEBS: Object. Vague and ambiguous what
15	you mean played the role of.
16	MR. NISENBAUM: I don't know how else to put
17	it, Blake.
18	Q. Assume the position of the decedent in the
19	attic?
20	MR. LOEBS: I think that's more accurate of his
21	testimony, than saying played a role.
22	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. You never had the
23	person assume the position that Officer Keesor described
24	with respect to the arm, the decedent's arm movement
25	right before the decedent was shot; is that correct?
	135

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Okay. Do you intend to, to do any work in that
3	regard?
4	A. To, to have another demonstration like this
5	where you put people up there and have them, have
6	somebody
7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. No, I have no plans to.
9	Q. Okay. Now, is it your understanding that, that
10	the decedent that flashlights were on the decedent
11	throughout the period of time of the officers, let's
12	say, the last five minutes before the shooting
13	occurred?
14	A. There were flashlights on him. The officers
15	just showed me how they aimed their flashlights. And it
16	wasn't continuous events. They were moving around. So
17	it wasn't one static beam on him.
18	Q. On page five of seven you have an opinion,
19	apparently, that "The physical evidence is consistent
20	with Officer Alvis' perception of apparent muzzle
21	flashes from the decedent's location," correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Is that an opinion you intend to express at
24	trial in this case?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Okay. And your basis of that opinion is, is
2	what?
3	A. The dynamics of the event in terms of
4	flashlights, combination of flashlights, darkened area,
5	reflective object and muzzle flashes, all intervene
6	combining during the event.
7	Q. Do you believe that the decedent's metal-rimmed
8	glasses could, could reflect light in a manner to make
9	it appear that the decedent was firing gunshots?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what are your qualifications to offer that
12	opinion?
13	A. I am very experienced with firearms. I'm I
1.4	think my most recent paper that was published in the
15	California Association of Criminalist, I was the
16	coauthor excuse me California Association of
17	Criminalist, I was coauthor of a paper on muzzle flash.
18	And I did some research on muzzle flash, the duration
1.9	and what I think it's called what people see,
20	something like that, about what you could see from
21	muzzle flashes. So I am very familiar with muzzle
22	flashes.
23	Q. Are you familiar with muzzle flash reflecting
24	off of officers
5	A Yes

1	Q. Now, in your view do you have an understanding
2	strike that.
3	My understanding, from your report, is that
4	according to you Officer Alvis was falling backwards
5	when she was shooting?
6	A. Ask me that again, please.
7	Q. My understanding from reading your report is
8	that you have an opinion that Officer Alvis was falling
9	backwards as she discharged her firearm?
10	A. My opinion is that the physical evidence is
11	consistent with that description.
12	Q. Okay. From Officer Alvis' position, your
13	understanding of Officer Alvis' testimony is that she
14	never saw the decedent's right hand; is that accurate?
15	A. That's my understanding, yes.
16	Q. All right. And Officer Alvis, in fact, fired
17	do you have an understanding that Officer Alvis fired
18	before she could see the decedent's right hand?
19	MR. LOEBS: Objection. It's argumentative and
20	it assumes facts not in evidence. And it's vague and
21	ambiguous.
22	THE WITNESS: I'm a little confused. You
23	previously stated that she couldn't see she stated
24	that she couldn't see his right hand.
25	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Right.

1	A. So she couldn't see his right hand. That's her
2	statement.
3	Q. Okay. And from, from her position, if the
4	decedent's right arm was raised, she would be in a
5	position to see it, correct?
6	A. No.
7	Q. And let's go back to I think it was L, L1 and
8	L2.
9	So at no point, from looking at L1, at no point
10	would Officer Alvis be able to see the decedent's right
11	arm; is that correct?
12	A. Well, first of all, depending on which side of
13	the duct she is looking at, if she is on the right side
14	or left side, and then depending on how he raised his
15	right arm, it's certainly possible that she didn't see
16	she could not see his right hand. Depends on how he,
17	how he raised it and where she and where her head was
18	at the moment.
19	Q. Okay. It is your understanding that Officer
20	Keesor, when Officer Keesor fired his gun, it was after
21	the decedent had allegedly raised his right arm and
22	allegedly pointed an object, correct?
23	MR. LOEBS: I object. That misstates his
24	testimony; assume facts not in evidence. It's

25

argumentative.

1	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that Officer
2	Keesor stated that he fired after he saw the decedent
3	bring his right arm up with an object in it.
4	Does that answer your question?
5	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Yes, it does.
6	A. That's my understanding.
7	Q. Do you have an opinion as to which officer
8	fired first?
9	A. Not from physical evidence, no.
10	Q. Okay. And from the testimonial evidence, do
11	you have an opinion as to which officer fired first?
12	A. I'm not sure if they are sure who fired first.
13	But I don't have an opinion on it.
14	Q. Okay. And you don't intend to offer an opinion
15	on that subject, do you?
16	A. I, I might have reviewed Officer Keesor's
17	statement and yeah, all the officers' statements.
18	But Keesor may have stated that he fired first. I don't
19	remember.
20	Q. Now, is the physical evidence consistent with
21	Alvis' perception of apparent muzzle flashes consistent
22	with light from reflecting off of the decedent's
23	glasses?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And it's also consistent with light reflecting
	140

1	off of a watch that he had?
1	
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And you don't need to have actual muzzle
4	flashes to have that reflection; is that right?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. And now you have an opinion here on page five
7	of seven, The bullet that caused wound "N" in the left
8	forearm first struck and perforated a wooden membrane
9	before contacting the decedent?
10	A. A wooden member.
11	Q. A wooden member before contacting the decedent.
12	Do you know what it struck?
13	A. I believe one of the wooden members.
14	You asked me which one?
15	Q. Yeah.
16	A. No, I don't know which one.
17	Q. Did you actually observe a damage to a wooden
18	member?
19	A. It was several, yes.
20	Q. Okay.
21	MR. LOEBS: Ben, just how long do you think you
22	might be?
23	MR. NISENBAUM: Maybe 20 minutes or relatively
24	close.
25	MR. LOEBS: Do you mind if I just take a quick

1	five-minute break?
2	MR. NISENBAUM: Then we will call it 25
3	minutes.
4	MR. LOEBS: Yeah. I have to make a phone call.
5	MR. NISENBAUM: Okay.
6	(WHEREUPON, a brief recess was taken.)
7	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Now, do you know whether a
8	person seated in the decedent's position would have been
9	able to have had the same difficulty in viewing Officer
10	Alvis that Officer Alvis had from the decedent?
11	A. Well, I could say there was certainly not a
.2	clear view. They had different perspectives because of
13	certain objects closer to them, so. And someone seated
14	in the decedent's location would have would not have
.5	a clear view of Officer Alvis.
16	Q. Okay. And does it make a difference that the
17	flashlight is being shined at the decedent to, to a
18	person in the decedent's position?
19	A. Would that hinder his view?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. Oh, yes, it could, yes.
22	Q. Would it cause glare?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And if the person is wearing glasses, do you
25	know if that glare would be increased?
	142

Α.	I don't know i	f it makes much of a difference	
in terms	of the glare.	But if the flashlight is held i	i, T
front of	Officer Alvis'	face, it would be hard for him	
to see he	er face.		

- Q. And a person in Officer Keesor's position, would it be difficult if Officer Keesor was shining a flashlight -- strike that. A person and Officer Keesor were shining, were shining a flashlight at the decedent, would it be difficult for a person in the decedent's position to see Officer Keesor?
- A. To see his face perhaps a flashlight was next to his face, it would, it would hinder his view, yes.
- Q. And it would hinder the view of his body as well, correct?
 - A. It could.

- Q. Now, the only sources of light that you are aware of in the attic on the night of June 6, 2006, during the subject incident, aside from any shots that may have been fired were the officers' flashlights; is that correct?
- A. There were some and there are still some vents which allow lights, which allow light to come in. It's not clear to me how much light there was but, you know, the time of the shooting, but I believe there was some ambient outside lights that could have lit through.

1	But, essentially, it was, it was a very dark area.
2	Q. And the light from that could have lit through,
3	would it make a difference if it was daytime or
4	nighttime?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And there would be a lot less light bleeding
7	through, if it were nighttime, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And you understand that this incident of the
10	shooting occurred at night?
11	A. Yes, but there was some, still some ambient
12	light. But I, also, I covered up those vents for, for
13	my experiment.
14	Q. Okay. Do you intend to offer any opinion with
15	respect to the officers' ability to retreat in the attic
16	of 2 Garces Drive?
17	A. To the extent that I am familiar with I
18	don't comment on police practices. But to the extent
19	that I am aware that there is no there is nothing in
20	that attic that would protect an officer from a gunshot.
21	There is nothing you could hide behind that would stop a
22	bullet.
23	Q. How about with respect to the layout of the
24	attic, itself, in terms of the officer's movement
25	backwards.

1	MR. LOEBS: Objection.
2	That was not a question. It's just a
3	statement.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you are asking.
5	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Well, I was asking about
6	it. That was a question.
7	How about, well, you mentioned something about
8	the officers being protected from being shot, correct?
9	A. I just said there is nothing, if I am asked,
10	there is nothing in the attic that would stop a bullet
11	that the officers could hide behind.
12	Q. Right. I guess my question is with respect to
13	well, strike that question.
14	You say that "The injury sustained by Officer
15	Alvis is consistent with having been caused by a
16	ricocheting bullet fragment or other small object"?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And what is that based on?
19	A. That's based on many years of experience with
20	bullet injuries, bullet fragment injuries. I have been
21	struck many times by bullet fragments at shooting
22	ranges. And by my study of wound ballistics and, also,
23	my knowledge of what happens to a bullet striking wood
24	like these joists and vertical members and beams. And
25	the fact that the jackets are often, the bullet jackets
	the race that the jackets are orten, the buriet jackets

1	are often chipped off the lead core and go flying
2	different directions. The type of injury she sustained
3	is consistent with a bullet fragment injury.
4	Q. How far did bullet fragments fly from the type
5	of guns the officers were using?
6	MR. LOEBS: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical
7	and vague.
8	THE WITNESS: There is a great variation,
9	depending on what they had of a steel and what's most
10	important is the mass of the fragment, how heavy, heavy
11	it is, the further it can fly.
12	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. To your knowledge, the
13	abrasion to Alvis' ear, could that have been caused by
14	something other than, other than a fragment?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Aside from the officers' testimony, can you
17	tell independently what caused the injury to Alvis',
18	Officer Alvis' ear?
19	A. Only that it's consistent with a bullet
20	fragment. That's all I can say.
21	Q. It's consistent with, with something else, too,
22	correct?
23	A. It could be.
24	Q. Okay. And what is your understanding of when
25	Officer Alvis was first observed bleeding?
	146

1	A. At the scene there were descriptions, the
2	reports interviews from officers at the scene, described
3	her injury. And then she was treated at the, at the
4	General Hospital. I forget where, where it was. But it
5	was a report generated.
6	Q. Do you recall, do you recall officers at the
7	scene testifying in depositions who said that they
8	observed no injuries to Officer Alvis?
9	A. I don't remember.
10	Q. And I am talking at 2 Garces Drive.
11	A. Yes, I don't remember one way or the other.
12	Q. Okay. Do you recall what officers or strike
13	that.
14	Do you recall who said they observed an injury
15	to Officer Alvis at the scene?
16	A. I do not.
17	Q. Okay. You said "The eyeglass case can produce
18	a loud sound which could be reasonably interpreted as a
19	gunshot"?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And is that based on your experience hearing
22	guns fire?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. Now, I am not certain from your notes,
25	but it looks like that there were some measurements in
	147

T /	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)
4	
5	I hereby certify that the witness, ALEXANDER JASON, in the foregoing deposition appeared before me, BARBARA J. BUTLER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and a disinterested person.
7	Said witness was then and there at the time
8	and place previously stated by me placed under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the testimony given on the date of the within
9	deposition; that the deposition is a true record of the witness' testimony as reported by me.
10	The testimony of the witness and all
11	questions and remarks requested by Counsel was reported under my direction and control, caused to be transcribed
12	into typewritten form by means of Computer-Aided Transcription.
13 14	I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed by the State of California, and I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of the said action, nor
15	connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. I am not
16	of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the case named in the within caption.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed
18	my signature this 4th day of June, 2008.
19	2 2
20	5-61/51/6
21	BARBARA J. BUTLER Certified Shorthand Reporter
22	California License Number 5604
23	000
24	
25	
	161